

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: CCMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20201 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,329	02/06/2001	Dahv A. V. Kliner	SD-8317	4875
75	590 10/21/2002			
Timothy Evans MS 9031			EXAMINER	
Sandia National Laboratories			HOFFMANN, JOHN M	
7011 East Aven Livermore, CA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1731	6
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002	V

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1/1
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	10
	Office Action Summary	09/778,329	KLINER ET AL.	
	Once Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MANUAL PLANTS	John Hoffmann	1731	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the communication apport	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence addre	ss
- External e	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nasions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howevery within the statutory minim	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ( (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu	unication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) □				
3) 🗌	/ <b></b>	is action is non-fina		
	Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 19	nal matters, prosecution as to the m 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration	on.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement	ı.	
Application	on Papers	·		
	he specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) 🔲 T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ accep	ted or b) objected t	to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approved t	o)  disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl			
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌 🛚 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	]All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1	. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	d.	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	in Application No	
	B.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list o	y documents have	been received in this National Stage	<b>Э</b>
14) <u></u> Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	nriority under 35 LL		
a) [ 15)∐ Ac	☐ The translation of the foreign language provestions. ☐ The translation of the foreign language provestices. ☐ The translation of translation of the translation of the translation of translation of translation of transla	sional application h	as been received	cation).
Attachment(s	·			
2) 🔲 Notice o	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:	<u> </u>
Patent and Trade O-326 (Rev.		on Summary	Part of Paner	

Art Unit: 1731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-51, drawn to a method of making optical fiber preforms, classified in class 65, subclass 408.
- II. Claim 52, drawn to a glass preform, classified in class 428, subclass 428.
- III. Claims 53-58, drawn to a method of making glass roads, classified in class 65, subclass 413.
- IV. Claim 59, drawn to a glass rod, classified in class 385, subclass 123.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions IV and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an optical waveguide and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the

Art Unit: 1731

inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can be used to make a materially different process - such as a halide fiber which has no silica.

Inventions IV and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different process, such as drawing the individual rod into a fiber.

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as making a method a rod that is drawn into a fiber (i.e. without combining with other rods). See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2)

Art Unit: 1731

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can be used to make a different product - such as fibers that are not composites.

Inventions IV and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different process, such as drawing a fiber directly from the single rod.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## If invention I or II is restricted, then the following applies:

Claims 1, and 52 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising fibers with one of the dopants included in at least claims 10, 30, 32 37.

Applicant <u>is required</u> under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant <u>is further required</u> to identify what the Applicant considers "new IUPAC Groups 4-7" covers - since Examiner cannot ascertain what it covers.

Art Unit: 1731

Claims 1 and 52 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the invention of figures 4, 7, 9 (and any/all other specific fiber/preform profile disclosed). Applicant <u>is required</u> under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Hoffmann whose telephone number is 703-308-0469. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00-3:30.

Art Unit: 1731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7115 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

John Høffmann

Primary Examiner

jmh

October 18, 2002